

GRADED PRIMARY AND GRADED SECONDARY MODULES

Mr. Mohamamd Irshad

Research Scholar, Maharishi University of Information Technology, Lucknow **Email:** drirshadup32@gmail.com

Dr. Chinta Mani Tiwari

Professor, Department of Mathematics, Maharishi University of Information Technology,

Lucknow

Abstract

Let R be a G- graded commutative ring and G be a group with identity e. in this paper we show that every graded primary submodule of a graded representable module over a G-graded ring is graded representable and here we study graded representable module and the graded primary submodules of a graded module over a G-graded commutative ring.

Keywords: Commutative ring, graded primary, graded secondary modules.

Introduction

Secondary modules have been studied extensively by many authors (Atani 2006, Macdonald 1973, Nastasescu 1982). Here we examine when graded submodules of a graded representable module are graded representable a number of results concerning of this class of submodules. Various properties of such modules are considered.

Before we state some results let us introduce some notation and terminology. Let *G* be an arbitrary group with identity e. A commutative ring *R* with non-zero identity is a graded if it has a direct sum decomposition (as an additive group) $R = \bigoplus_{g \in G} R_g$ such that $1 \in R_e$; and for all g, $h \in G$, $R_g R_h \subseteq R_{gh}$. If R is G-graded, then an R-module M is said to be G-graded if it has a direct sum decomposition $M = \bigoplus_{g \in G} M_g$ such that for all g, $h \in G$, $R_g M_h \subseteq M_{gh}$. An element of some R_g or M_g is said to be homogeneous element. A submodule of $N \subseteq M$, where M is G-

Received: 26.01.2022

Accepted: 05.03.2022

Published: 07.03.2022





graded, is called G-graded if $N = \bigoplus_{g \in G} (N \cap M_g)$ or if, equivalently, N is generated by homogeneous elements.

Moreover, M/N becomes a G-graded module with g-component $(M/N)_g = (M_g+N)/N$ for $g \in G$. Clearly, 0 is a graded submodule of M. Also, we write $h(R) = \bigcup_{g \in G} R_g$ and $h(M) = \bigcup_{g \in G} M_g$. A graded ideal *I* of *R* is said to be a graded prime ideal if $I \neq R$; and whenever $ab \in I$, we have $a \in I$ or $b \in I$, where $a, b \in h(R)$. The graded radical of I, denoted by Gr(I), Is the set of all $x \in R$ such that for each $g \in G$ there exists $n_g > 0$ with $x_g^{n_g} \in I$. A graded ideal I of R is said to be a graded primer $a, b \in h(R)$ with $ab \in I$, then $a \in I$ or $b \in Gr(I) = P$ is a graded prime ideal of R, and we say that I is a graded P-primary ideal of R (Refai, 2004).

Let S be a commutative ring and let M an R-module. Given an element *a* of S, we say that a divides M if aM = M, and we say that a is nilpotent on M if $a^nM = 0$ for some n, We say that M is secondary if it is non-zero and every $a \in S$ either divides M or is nilpotent on M; in this case the ideal rad(M) = P is prime and we also say that M is P-secondary (Macdonald, 1973).

2. Graded primary submodules

First, we give some basic basic facts concerning graded primary submodules of a graded module. Next, we study graded submodules of a graded representable module.

Definition 2.1 Let R be a G-graded ring, M a graded R-module and N a graded R-submodule of M.

(i) We say that M is a graded free R-module if it has an R-basis consisting of homogeneous elements.

(ii) N is a graded prime submodule of M if $N \neq M$; and whenever $a \in h(R)$ and $m \in h(M)$ with $am \in N$, then either $m \in N$ or $a \in (N: RM)$.

(iii) N is a graded primary submodule of M if $N \neq M$; and whenever $a \in h(R)$ and $m \in h(M)$ with $am \in N$, then either $m \in N$ or $a^k \in (N_{:R}M)$ for some k.

Received: 26.01.2022

6.01.2022 Accepted: 05.03.2022

Published: 07.03.2022





(iv) N is a graded maximal submodule of M if $N \neq M$ and there is no graded submodule K of M such that $N \subsetneq K \subsetneq M$.

(v) We say that M is graded simple module if it has only two graded submodules 0 and M.

The following lemma is known, but we write it here for the sake of reference.

Lemma 2.2 *Let R be a G-graded ring, M a graded R-module and N a graded R-submodule of M. Then the following hold:*

(i) N is a graded maximal submodule of M if and only if M/N is a graded simple R-Module.

(ii) If $r \in h(R)$, $x \in h(M)$ and I is a graded ideal of R, then (N: _R M) is a graded ideal of R, Rx, IN and r N are graded submodules of M.

The graded radical (resp. Radical) of a graded submodule (resp. submodule) N of a graded module (resp. module) M, denoted by Gr (N), (resp. rad(N)) is defined to be intersection of all graded prime (resp. prime) submodules of M containing N. Clearly, if N and K are graded submodules of M with K \subseteq N, then Gr(K) \subseteq Gr(N). Let M be zero-divisor on M if there exists $0 \neq m \in M$ such that rm = 0.

Lemma 2.3 *Let M be a graded simple module over a G-graded ring R. Then every graded zero-divisor on M is an annihilator of M*

Proof. Let r be an arbitrary graded zero-divisor on M. Then there exists $0 \neq a \in h(M)$ such that ra=0. Since M is a simple graded R-module, we get Ra = M. Hence rM = r(Ra) = (Rr)a = R(ra) = 0. Thus, r is an annihilator of M.

Proposition 2.4 Let M be a graded module over a G-graded ring R. Then every graded Maximal submodule of M is a graded prime.

Proof. Let N be an arbitrary graded maximal submodule of M. Let $r m \in N$ where $r \in h(R)$ and $m \in h(M) - N$. Since $0 \neq (m+N) \in h(M/N)$ and r(m+N) = 0, we get r is a graded zero-divisor on graded module M/N; hence by lemma 2.2 and lemma 2.3, $r \in (N:_R M)$, as required.

Received: 26.01.2022

2 Accepted: 05.03.2022





Proposition 2.5 Let R be a G-graded ring, M a graded R-module and N a graded R-submodule of M. Then the following hold:

(i) If N is a graded primary submodule of M, then (N: _R M) is a graded primary ideal of R.

(ii) If N is a graded prime submodule of M, then $(N:_R M)$ is a graded prime ideal of R.

Proof. (i) Clearly, $(N:_R M) \neq R$. Let $ab \in (N:_R M)$ with $b \notin (N:_R M)$ where $a, b \in h(R)$, So there exists $m \in h(M) - N$ such that $bm \notin N$. As $abm \in N$, N graded primary gives $a^k M \subseteq N$ for some k, as needed.

(ii) The proof is similar to that of (i).

Proposition 2.6 Let R be a G-graded ring, M a graded free R-module and I an ideal of R. Then the following hold.

(i) If I is a graded primary ideal of R, then IM is a graded primary submodule of M.

(ii) If I is a graded Prime ideal of R, then I M is a graded prime submodule of M.

Proof. (i) As M is a cancellation module and $I \neq R$, we get $I M \neq M$, Assume that M is the graded free R-module with a homogeneous basis $\{x_g:g = G\}$ and let $rm \in I M$ with $m \notin I M$ where $r \in h(R)$ and $m \in h(M)$. We can write $m = \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_i x_{g_i}$ with $r_i \in R$, Since $M \notin I M$, there exists an integer J such that $r_j \notin I$. There are elements $b_1, \ldots, b_n \in I$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (rr_i)x_{g_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i x_{g_i}$, so $rr_i=b$, for every $i=1, \ldots, n$; hence $rr_j \in I$.

Since $r_j = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{g_i} \notin I$ with $r_{g_i} \neq 0$, we obtain that $r_{g_t} \notin I$ for some t. It follows that $rr_{g_i} \in I$ since I is graded ideal, so $r^m \in I$ for some m; hence $r^m M \subseteq IM$, as required.

(ii) The proof is similar to that of (i)

One approach to the graded case is simply to redefine all of the treminology to involve only homogeneous elements and graded submodules. In this veiw, a non-zero graded module M is graded secondary if every homogeneous element of R either divides M or is nilpotent on M, in

Received: 26.01.2022

Accepted: 05.03.2022

Published: 07.03.2022





which case Gr(annM) = P is a graded prime ideal of R, and M is said to be graded P-secondary. A graded module M is said to be graded secondary representable if it can be writen as a sum $M = M_1 + + M_K$ with each M_i graded secondary, and if such a representation exists (and is irredundant) then the graded attached primes of M are Att (M) = {Gr(annM),....,Gr(annM)}. Note that a graded secondary module, in general, is not secondary. For example, as discussed in (Sharp, 1986), if R = k[x] is a polynomial ring in one variable with the natural Z-graded ring and M = k[x, 1/x], then M is graded secondary but is not secondary. so the graded secondary and secondary modules are different. concepts.

A graded submodule N of M is said to be graded pure submodule if $aN = N \cap aM$ for every a $\in h(R)$. We have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.7 Let R be a G-graded ring, M a graded R-module and N a non-zero graded pure R-submodule of M. Then M is a Graded P-secondary if and only if both N and M/N are graded P- secondary.

Proof. Assume that M is P-secondary and let $a \in h(R)$. If $a \in P$, then $a^s N \subseteq a^s M = 0$ and $a^s(M/N) = 0$ for some s, so a is nilpotent on N and M/N. If $a \notin P$, then $aN = N \cap aM = N$ and a(M/N) = M/N, so a divides N and M/N; Hence N and M/N are P-secondary. Conversely, assume that N and M/N are P-secondary and let $b \in h(R)$. If $b \in P$, then $b^t M \subseteq N$ and $0 = b^t N = N \cap b^t M = b^t M$ for some t, so b is nilpotent on M. if $b \notin P$, then $N = bN = N \cap bM$ and b(M/N), so bM = M, as required.

Theorem 2.8 Let R be a G-graded ring, M a graded secondary R-module and N a non-zero graded P-prime R-submodule of M. Then N is graded P-secondary.

Proof. Assume that M is a graded Q-secondary R-Module and let $r \in h(R)$. If $r \in Q$, then $r^s N \subseteq r^s M = 0$ for some s, so r is nilpotent on N. Suppose that $r \notin Q$; we show that r divides N. so assume that $a \in N$. Then there exists $b = \sum_{i=1}^{t} b_{g_i} \in M$ (with $b_{g_i} \neq 0$) such that a = rb. As N is graded, $rb_{g_i} \in N$ for every i = 1, ..., t, so for each i, N graded prime gives $b_{g_i} \in N$; hence $b \in N$. It follows that r divides N, so N is a graded Q-secondary R-module.

Received: 26.01.2022

Accepted: 05.03.2022

Published: 07.03.2022





Now we need to show that P = Q. Since the inclusion $P \subseteq Q$ is trivial, we will prove the reverse inclusion. Suppose that $c = \sum_{i=1}^{n} ch_i \in Q$ with $ch_i \neq 0$. Then there are integers m_i such that $c_{h_i}^{m_i} M = 0$ for i=1,...,n since Q is graded and M is graded Q-secondary. As $M \neq N$, there is an element $x = x_{g_1} + ... + x_{g_n} \in M$ (with $x_{g_i} \neq 0$) such that $x_{g_w} \notin N$ for some w. Therefore, for each i = 1,...,n, $c_{h_i}^{m_i} x_{g_w} = 0 \in N$, so N graded Prime gives $c_{h_i} \in P$; hence $c \in P$, as required.

Lemma 2.9 *Let R be a G-graded ring, M a graded R-module and N a graded P-secondary Rsubmodule of M. Then the following hold.*

(i) If K is a graded primary submodule of M, then $N \cap K$ is graded P-secondary.

(ii) If K is a graded prime submodule of M, then $N \cap K$ is graded P-secondary.

Proof. (i) Assume that $a \in h(\mathbb{R})$ and let $a \in \mathbb{P}$. Then $a^m (\mathbb{N} \cap \mathbb{K}) \subseteq a^m \mathbb{N} = 0$ for some m, so a is nilpotent on $\mathbb{N} \cap \mathbb{K}$. Suppose that $a \notin \mathbb{P}$; we show that a divides $\mathbb{N} \cap \mathbb{K}$. It suffices to show that $\mathbb{N} \cap \mathbb{K} \subseteq a (\mathbb{N} \cap \mathbb{K})$. If $b \in \mathbb{N} \cap \mathbb{K}$, then b=am for some $m=\sum_{i=1}^n m_{g_i} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m_{g_i} \neq 0$. Then for each i=1,...,s, $am_{g_i} \in \mathbb{K}$ since \mathbb{K} is a graded submodule of \mathbb{M} . It follows that $m_{g_i} \in \mathbb{K}$ for every i (otherwise, if $m_{g_i} \notin \mathbb{K}$ for some j and $a^s \in (\mathbb{K} :_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{M})$ for some s,

then $m_{g_j} \in N = a^s N \subseteq a^s M \subseteq K$ which is a contradiction), so $m \in K$; hence $b \in a$ (N $\cap K$) and the proof is complete.

Theorem 2.10 (i) Every graded primary submodule of a graded representable module over a G-graded ring is graded representable.

(ii) Every graded prime submodule of a graded representable module oer a G-graded ring is graded representable.

Proof. (i) Assaume that $M = \sum_{i=1}^{k} S_i$ is a miimal graded secondary representation of M with Att (M) = {P₁,...,P_k} and let N be a graded P-primary submodule of M. There exists a submodule S_i, such that S₁ \nsubseteq N since N \neq M. First we show that P = P₁ Let a = $a_{g_i} + ... + a_{g_t} \in$ P₁ with $a_{g_i} \neq 0$. There are integers n₁,....,n_t and a homogeneous element $yh \in S_1 - N$ such that

Received: 26.01.2022



This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



 $a_{g_t}^{n_i}$ yh = 0 for every i, so N graded Primary given $a_{g_i} \in P$ for every i; Hence $a \in P$. Therefore, $P_1 \subseteq P$. For the other containment, suppose that there exises a homogeneous element $C_h \in P$ with $c_h \notin P_1$. Then $S_1 = c_h^s S_1 \subseteq c_h^s M \subseteq N$ for some s which is a contradiction. Thus, $P = P_1$ Likewise, if $s_j \subseteq N$ for $j \neq 1$, then $P = P_1 = P_j$ which is a contradiction. We will show that $S_i \subseteq$ N for i=2,...k. As $P \neq P_i$ we divide the proof into two cases:

Case 1 P \nsubseteq P_i

There exists a homogeneous element $ph \in P$ with $ph \notin P_i$. Then $S_i = p_h^t S_i \subseteq p_h^t M \subseteq N$ for some t.

Case 2 P_i⊈ P

There is a homogeneous element $a_g \in P_i$ with $a_g \notin P$. Let $b = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{h_i} \in S_i$ with $b_{g_i} \neq 0$. Then there is an integer n such that $a_g^n \quad b_{h_i} = 0 \in \mathbb{N}$, so N graded primary gives $b_{h_i} \in \mathbb{N}$ for i=1,...,m; hence $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $S_i \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. It follows that $\mathbb{N} = \mathbb{N} \cap \mathbb{M} = \mathbb{N} \cap S_1 + \sum_{i=2}^{k} S_i$. Now the assertion follows form Lemma 2.9.

Corollary 2.11 Let R be a G-graded ring, M a graded representable R-module and N a graded primary (resp. Graded prime) R-submodule of M. Then Att (N) \subseteq Att (M).

Proof. This follows from Theorem 2.10

Let R be a G-graded ring. The graded dimension of R is defined as the supermum of all numbers n for which there exists a chain of graded prime ideals $P_0 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq P_1 \subseteq ... \subseteq P_n$ in R and it is dnoted by Gdim R. We say that R is a G-graded integral domain whenever $a, b \in h(R)$ with ab = 0 implies that either a = 0 or b = 0.

Lemma 2.12 Let P be a graded prime ideal of a G-graded ring R, M a graded R-module and $\{N_i\}_i \in I$ a family of graded prime R-submodules of M such that $(N_i : _R M) = P$ for every $i \in I$. Then $\bigcap_{i \in I} N_i$ is a graded prime submodule of M.

Proof. The proof is straight forward.

Received: 26.01.2022

Accepted: 05.03.2022

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.



Theorem 2.13 Let R be a G-graded integral domain with Gdim R = 1, M a graded representable R-module and N a graded primary R- submodule of M. Then Gr(N) is graded representable.

Proof. Consider the greded ideal (K : $_{R}$ M) for any graded prime submodule K containing N. These ideals are graded prime by Proposition 2.5 and N \subseteq K implies (N : $_{R}$ M) \subseteq (K : $_{R}$ M); hence by [5, Proposition 1.2], Gr(N: $_{R}$ M) \subseteq Gr (N: $_{R}$ M) for all such K. For any one of these prime submodules K, we generate the chain of graded prime ideals 0 \subset Gr(N: $_{R}$ M) \subseteq (K: $_{R}$ M) since by [5, Lemma 1.8], Gr(N : $_{R}$ M) is a graded Prime ideal of R. As Gdim R=1, we must have Gr(N: $_{R}$ M) = (K : $_{R}$ M) for every graded prime submodule K containing N. By Lemma 2.12 Gr (N) = $\bigcap_{N\subseteq K}$ K is a graded prime submodule of M. Now the assertion follows form Theorem 2.10

Lemma 2.14 Let R be a G-graded ring, M a graded R-module and N a graded rep-resentable R- submodule of M. Then if K is a graded Primary (Resp. graded prime) submodule of M, then $N \cap K$ is graded representable.

Proof. By Theorem 2.10, it suffices to show that $N \cap K$ is a graded primary submodule of N. Let $an \in N \cap K$ with $n \notin N \cap K$ where $a \in h(R)$ and $n \in h(N)$, so K graded primary gives a^s $M \subseteq K$ for some s; hence $a^s (N \cap K) \subseteq N$, as required.

Theorem 2.15 Let R be a G-graded ring, M a graded R- Module and N a graded R- submodule of M such that N possess a graded primary decomposition. If K is a graded representable submodule of M, then $N \cap K$ can be expressed as an intersection of finitely many graded representable submodules.

Proof. Let $N = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} N_i$ where N_i is graded Primary, be a normal decomposition. Then $N \cap K = (N_1 \cap K) \cap ... \cap (K \cap N_n)$. Now the assertion follows form Lemma 2.14.

REFERENCES

Received: 26.01.2022

Accepted: 05.03.2022

Published: 07.03.2022





- Jaballah Ali and Saidi Faith B., Uniqueness the generalized representation by fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, Vol.159(16), pp.2176-2184, 2008.
- Jacobson N., Projective modules. H 3.10 in Basic Algebra II, San Francisco, CA: W.
 H. Freeman and Company, pp.148-155, 2000.
- Khaldoun Al-Zoubi and Nisreen Sharafat, On graded 2-absorbing primary and graded weakly 2-absorbing primary ideals, Journal of Korean Mathematical Society, Vol.54(2), pp.675-684, 2017.
- Kumar V., Proof of beal's conjecture and Fermat last theorem using contra positive method, i-manager's Journal of Mathematics, Vol.7(2), pp.1-7, 2018.
- Kunz E., Projective modules. H 3 in Introduction to commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, Boston, MA: Birkhuser, pp.110-112, 2000.
- Kuroki N., On fuzzy ideals and fuzzy bi-ideals in semigroup, Fuzzy Sets and System, Vol.5, pp.203-215, 2000.
- Lam Y.T., Projective modules. H 2 in Lectures on modules and rings, New York: Springer-Verlag, pp.21-59, 2004.
- Lazard D., Autour de la platitude, Bulletin Society Mathematical, France Vol.97, pp.81-128, 2004.
- Lee H.K., On fuzzy quotient rings and chain conditions, The Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol.7(1), pp.33-40, 2004.
- Leinster Tom, The bijection between projective indecomposable and simple module, Bulletin of The Belgian Mathematical Society, Vol.22(5) pp.725-735 2015.
- Liu W.J., Fuzzy invariant subgroup and fuzzy ideals, Fuzzy Sets and System, Vol.8(2), pp.133-139, 2002.
- Ebrahimi Atani, S.: On graded weakly prime ideals, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 30, 351-358, (2006).
- Ebrahimi Atani, S.: On secondary modules over pullback rings, Comm. Algebra, 30(6), 2675-2685, (2002).
- Macdonald, I. G.: Secondary representation of modules over commutative rings,

Received: 26.01.2022

Accepted: 05.03.2022





Sympos. Math. XI, 23-43, (1973).

- Nastasescu, C. and Van Oystaeyen, F.: Graded Rings Theory, Mathematical Library 28, North Holand, Amsterdam, (1982).
- Refai, M. and Al-Zoubi, K.: On Graded Primary Ideals, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, 28, 217-229, (2004).
- Sharp, R. Y.: Asymptotic behavior of certain sets of attached prime ideals, J. London Math.Soc., 34, 212-218, (1986).
- Lukas Katthan, Fernadez M.J.J and Uliczkac J., Hilbert series of modules over positively graded polynomial rings, Journal of Algebra, Vol.459, pp.437-445, 2016.
- Maani-Shirazi M. and Smith F.P., Uniqueness of coprimary decompositions, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, Vol.31(1), pp.53-64, 2007.
- Macdonald A., Commutative algebra, Addison Wesley Publishing Company, 2000.
- Macdonald I. G., Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring, Symposia Mathematica, pp.23-43, 2000.
- Mac Lane S., Free and projective modules in homology, Berlin: Springer-Verlag, pp.19-21, 2000.
- Malik S.D., Fuzzy ideal Of Artinian rings, Fuzzy Sets and System, Vol.37, pp.111115, 2000.
- Malik S.D., Fuzzy primary representation of fuzzy ideals, Information Sciences, Vol.55, pp.151-165, 2000.
- Malik S.D. and Mordeson N.J., Fuzzy direct Sums Of fuzzy rings, Fuzzy Sets and System, Vol.45, pp.83-91, 2000, https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-0114(92)90094-K.
- Markus P. Brodmann and Sharp R.Y., Supporting degrees of multi-graded local cohomology modules, Journal of Algebra, Vol.321, pp.450-482, 2009.
- Marley T., Finitely graded local cohomology modules and the depths of graded algebras, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Soc.123, pp-36013607, 2006.
- Matsumura H., Commutative ring theory, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- Mishra R.K., Kumar S.D and Behra S., On projective modules and computation of

Received: 26.01.2022

Accepted: 05.03.2022

Published: 07.03.2022



226



dimension of a module over Laurent polynomial, International Scholarly Research Network ISRN Algebra, pp.1-12, 2011.

- Mishra Kumar Ratnesh, Kumar Datt Shiv and Sridharan Raja, Completion of unimodular row to an invertible matrix, Mitteilungen Klosterneuburg Journal, SCI, Vol.64(3), 2014.
- Montaner J.A and Yanagawa K, Lyubeznik numbers of local rings and linear strands of graded ideals, Nagoya Mathematical Journal, Vol.231, pp.23-54, 2018.
- Mordeson N.J. and Malik S.D., Fuzzy commutative algebra, World Scientific, 2000.
- Mordeson N.J. and Malik S.D., R-primary representation of fuzzy L-ideals, Information Science, Vol.88, pp.227-246, 2004.
- Mordeson N.J. and Malik S.D., Fuzzy maximal, radical and primary ideals ring, Information Science, Vol.53, pp.237-250, 2004.
- Mordeson N.J. and Malik S.D., Fuzzy prime ideals of ring, Fuzzy Sets and System, Vol.21, pp.99-104, 2001.
- Mordeson N.J., Malik S.D. and Kirby D, Coprimary decomposition of Artinian modules, Journal of London Mathematical Society, Vol.6, pp.571-576, 2003.
- Mordeson N.J. and Malik S.D., Fuzzy commutative algebra, World Scientific, 2004.
- Mukherjee T. K. and Sen M. K., On fuzzy ideals of ring I, Fuzzy Sets and System, Vol.53, pp.237-250, 1991.
- Murali V. and Makamba B.B., On krull's intersection theorem of fuzzy ideal, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, Vol.4, pp.251262, 2003.
- Nanda S., Fuzzy fields and fuzzy linear spaces, Fuzzy Sets and System, Vol.19, pp.89-94, 2001.
- Naser Zamani, Zeinab Rezaei and Jafar A'zami, Fuzzy representation modules and fuzzy attached primes, Matematicki Vesnik, Vol.67(3), pp.201-211, 2015.
- Nasataescu C. and Ostaeyens F.Van, Graded ring theory, North-Holland, Amsterdam-Newyork, 2002.
- Abdullah S., Aslam M. and Davvaz B., Semigroups charaterized by the properties Of

Received: 26.01.2022

Accepted: 05.03.2022





 (α, β) (+) fuzzy ideals, Annals of Fuzzy Mathematics Informatics, Vol.9(1), pp.43-63, 2015.

- Ashraf M., Rehman N., On commutativity of rings with derivations, Results in Mathematics, Vol.42(1-2), pp.3-8, 2002.
- Anthony J. M. and Sherwood H., Fuzzy Groups Redefined, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Application, Vol.69, pp.124-130, 2001.
- Artin and Zhang J.J., Noncommutative projective schemes, Advances in Mathematics, Vol.109(2), pp.228-287, 2002.
- Atani E.S., On graded weakly primary ideals, Quasigroup Related System, Vol.13(2), pp.185-191, 2005.
- Atani E.S., On graded weakly prime ideals, Turkish Journal of Mathematics, Vol.30(4), pp.351-358, 2006.
- Badawi A., Tekir U., On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings, Bulletin Korean Mathematical Society, Vol.51(14), pp.1163-1173, 2014.
- Behara S. and Kumar S.D., Group graded associated ideals with flat base change of rings and short exact sequences, Proceedings-Mathematical Sciences, 2011.
- Behara S. and Kumar S. D., Uniqueness of graded primary decomposition of graded modules graded over finitely generated abelian groups, Communications in Algebra, Vol.39(7), pp. 2607-2614, 2011.
- Bell P. Jason, Commutative algebra(Lecture notes), Springer, pp.1-29, 2010.

Received: 26.01.2022

Accepted: 05.03.2022

Published: 07.03.2022

