Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

A Critical Research on the Level of Social Workers' Satisfaction in the Indian Public Health Sector

Joydeep Roy
Ph.D Research Scholar, Asian International University, Ghari , Imphal, Manipur

Dr. Vipin Bihari Pandey
Department of Social Work
Asian International University, Ghari , Imphal, Manipur

ABSTRACT

Job satisfaction among social workers is an important factor influencing professional commitment, employee retention, service quality, and the effectiveness of public health interventions. In India, the public health sector operates within a complex environment characterized by variations in infrastructure, human resources, administrative arrangements, socioeconomic conditions, and access to healthcare. Social workers contribute to community outreach, psychosocial support, health education, patient counselling, rehabilitation, advocacy, and the coordination of services for vulnerable populations. However, their professional satisfaction has received comparatively less focused attention than that of doctors, nurses, and other conventional healthcare professionals.

This paper critically examines factors that may influence job satisfaction among social workers in the Indian public health sector. It adopts a literature-based approach, drawing on established theories of job satisfaction and relevant studies concerning Indian social workers and healthcare personnel. The analysis considers remuneration, employment security, workload, working conditions, supervisory relationships, professional recognition, career advancement, organizational support, work–life balance, and psychological well-being.

Existing research suggests that job satisfaction is multidimensional and cannot be adequately explained by salary or employment benefits alone. Studies of Indian social workers indicate that work–family enrichment, job well-being, and family support are relevant to professional satisfaction. Research involving healthcare personnel also highlights the importance of working conditions, professional relationships, recognition, and opportunities for development. However,

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

findings from doctors, nurses, community health workers, and social workers cannot be treated as interchangeable.

The paper argues that improving social workers' satisfaction requires a coordinated approach involving fair employment conditions, supportive supervision, professional recognition, manageable workloads, psychological support, and meaningful career progression. It also proposes an empirical research framework for assessing job satisfaction among social workers employed in public hospitals, community health centres, primary health centres, and other public health institutions across India. Since no original survey dataset was supplied, the paper does not claim to establish a nationally representative satisfaction level or present fabricated statistical findings.

Keywords: Social workers; job satisfaction; public health sector; India; professional well-being; organizational support; work–life balance; healthcare workforce; social work practice.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Healthcare is not merely a medical activity; it is also a social process influenced by poverty, education, employment, family relationships, gender inequality, disability, social exclusion, cultural beliefs, and access to public services. Consequently, effective healthcare delivery requires interdisciplinary collaboration among doctors, nurses, public health professionals, psychologists, counsellors, community health workers, and social workers.

Social workers connect individuals and families with healthcare institutions and social support systems. Their responsibilities may include psychosocial assessment, patient counselling, family intervention, health awareness, rehabilitation, support for people experiencing violence or neglect, assistance to disadvantaged communities, and coordination with government welfare programmes.

Within the Indian public health system, social workers may be employed in government hospitals, medical colleges, psychiatric institutions, rehabilitation centres, community-based

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

programmes, and public health projects. Their responsibilities and employment conditions differ across institutions and states.

The expansion of public health programmes and the growing recognition of mental health, community participation, rehabilitation, and social determinants of health have increased the relevance of social work within healthcare. The World Health Organization emphasizes the importance of a sustainable, skilled, and motivated health workforce. The effectiveness of a healthcare system depends not only on the number of professionals employed but also on their motivation, working conditions, professional development, and satisfaction with their jobs.

Job satisfaction reflects an individual's evaluation of work experience and the extent to which employment fulfils professional expectations, personal needs, financial requirements, and aspirations for recognition and development. Social workers may experience professional meaning alongside emotional demands, administrative pressures, and organizational limitations.

1.2 Meaning of Job Satisfaction

Job satisfaction can be understood as an individual's positive or negative evaluation of employment based on personal expectations and actual workplace experiences. Intrinsic dimensions include professional autonomy, opportunities to help people, use of professional knowledge, meaningful responsibilities, achievement, and the sense that one's contribution matters. Extrinsic dimensions include salary, employment security, promotion, workload, working hours, organizational policies, infrastructure, supervision, and employment benefits.

A social worker may find considerable meaning in assisting vulnerable patients but remain dissatisfied because of contractual insecurity, inadequate resources, excessive caseloads, or limited advancement opportunities. A regular salary may also fail to ensure satisfaction when professional contributions are ignored or organizational practices restrict effective service delivery. Job satisfaction should therefore be examined as a multidimensional experience rather than through a single indicator.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

1.3 Social Workers in the Indian Public Health Sector

The Indian public health sector includes government hospitals, medical colleges, district hospitals, community health centres, primary health centres, mental health institutions, rehabilitation facilities, and community-oriented health programmes. Social workers may perform psychosocial assessment, counselling, assistance to economically disadvantaged patients, coordination with welfare and rehabilitation services, community health education, support for patients with mental health difficulties, discharge planning, advocacy, documentation, and multidisciplinary collaboration.

Potential challenges include unclear job descriptions, insufficient staffing, limited recognition, inadequate infrastructure, and uncertainty regarding career progression. These challenges should not be presumed to occur uniformly across all institutions; their prevalence and severity require systematic investigation. Social workers employed directly by government departments may also experience different conditions from those recruited through contractual arrangements, nongovernmental organizations, outsourced agencies, or time-bound projects.

1.4 Statement of the Problem

The central problem is the need for a systematic and context-sensitive understanding of job satisfaction among social workers in the Indian public health sector. Although healthcare workforce satisfaction has been studied in India, much of the available literature focuses on doctors, nurses, community health workers, and other healthcare personnel. Research specifically examining social workers across diverse public health institutions remains comparatively limited.

Social workers combine interpersonal care, social intervention, advocacy, administrative coordination, and support for vulnerable individuals. Their satisfaction may depend on factors not adequately captured by conventional healthcare workforce assessments. The issue is not merely whether workers are satisfied or dissatisfied, but which dimensions shape satisfaction and how organizational and professional factors may influence practice.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

1.5 Significance of the Study

This study contributes to social work knowledge by focusing on professional experiences within healthcare institutions; has organizational relevance for workforce management; has potential implications for service quality and continuity; supports policy discussions about recruitment, deployment, and retention; and establishes a conceptual and methodological foundation for future field research.

1.6 Scope of the Study

The paper focuses on social workers engaged in India's public health sector, including government hospitals, public medical colleges, primary healthcare institutions, community health centres, mental health services, rehabilitation settings, and related government-supported health programmes. Community health workers such as ASHAs may provide comparative context but should not automatically be classified as professional social workers because their training, responsibilities, and employment arrangements may differ. This is a literature-based critical study, not a completed nationwide survey.

2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

2.1 Theoretical Foundations of Job Satisfaction

2.1.1 Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory

Herzberg, Mausner, and Snyderman (1959) distinguished between hygiene factors and motivators. Hygiene factors include salary, job security, working conditions, administrative policies, interpersonal relationships, and supervision. Their inadequacy may produce dissatisfaction. Motivators include achievement, recognition, responsibility, advancement, and opportunities for growth. This framework suggests that fair remuneration and security may reduce dissatisfaction, while recognition, responsibility, and development opportunities may strengthen professional motivation. The categories are analytical aids rather than universal explanations.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

2.1.2 Maslow's Hierarchy of Needs

Maslow (1943) proposed categories of human needs that include physiological needs, safety, belongingness, esteem, and self-actualization. In employment, income and manageable hours relate to material needs; employment security and protection from harassment relate to safety; collegial relationships support belongingness; recognition supports esteem; and opportunities for professional growth support self-actualization. The framework should not be interpreted as a rigid sequence applicable to every individual.

2.1.3 Job Demands–Resources Model

The Job Demands–Resources perspective distinguishes demands requiring sustained physical, emotional, or cognitive effort from resources that help employees accomplish work and develop professionally. For social workers, demands may include heavy caseloads, emotionally difficult interactions, administrative requirements, and time pressure. Resources may include supportive supervision, adequate staffing, professional autonomy, training, peer support, and appropriate facilities. High demands combined with insufficient resources may increase exhaustion and disengagement, whereas adequate resources can support motivation and well-being.

2.2 Research on Job Satisfaction Among Indian Social Workers

Kalliath, Kalliath, Chan, and Chan (2019) examined work–family enrichment, job well-being, family support, and job satisfaction among social workers in India. Their questionnaire study included 428 professional social workers employed in governmental and nongovernmental agencies across 15 Indian states and territories. The study reported associations between work–family enrichment, job well-being, and job satisfaction, with family support strengthening important relationships. This is directly relevant because it shows that professional satisfaction is connected to family and personal life as well as workplace policies. However, the sample was not restricted to government health institutions.

2.3 Compassion Satisfaction, Compassion Fatigue, and Burnout

Stanley and Sebastine (2024) investigated predictors of compassion fatigue and compassion satisfaction among social workers in India. Their quantitative study included 73 social workers from two cities in southern India and examined compassion fatigue, compassion satisfaction,

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612
ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

stress, and resilience. The study highlights that helping relationships can be rewarding while also creating emotional demands. Compassion satisfaction and job satisfaction are related but distinct: a social worker may value helping patients yet remain dissatisfied with remuneration, organizational policies, or employment conditions. Findings from a small regional sample should not be generalized nationally without further evidence.

2.4 Job Satisfaction Among Public and Private Healthcare Workers

Research on Indian health workers, including a study published in Human Resources for Health (2010), has examined job satisfaction and motivation in public and private settings in Andhra Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh. Such work points to the importance of working relationships, opportunities to use skills, training, employment benefits, and supervisory recognition. It demonstrates the value of a multidimensional approach. However, general healthcare workforce findings cannot directly establish the satisfaction profile of professional social workers.

2.5 Job Satisfaction Among Healthcare Providers in Punjab

Singh and colleagues (2019) conducted a cross-sectional study of healthcare providers in public health facilities in Punjab. Their findings indicated that satisfaction varied across dimensions, with working conditions presenting concerns while coworker relationships, the nature of work, supervision, and communication were assessed more favourably in the studied sample. This illustrates that employees may value their work and colleagues while remaining dissatisfied with the conditions under which work is performed. The findings are not a direct estimate for social workers nationally.

2.6 Job Satisfaction Among Multipurpose Health Workers

Lakra and colleagues (2012) investigated motivation and job satisfaction among multipurpose health workers in hilly and non-hilly areas of Jashpur District, Chhattisgarh. The study reported concerns about several job conditions and identified working conditions, salary, career advancement, and achievement as relevant areas. These findings support the broader argument that financial incentives alone may not sustain workforce motivation. Multipurpose health workers, however, have distinct roles and employment arrangements.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

2.7 Job Satisfaction and Mental Health Among Social Workers

A study by Paul and colleagues (2022) examined job satisfaction and mental health status among social workers in Kashmir. It contributes to the literature connecting occupational experiences with psychological well-being. Its geographical and sample-specific nature limits its ability to establish a national pattern and reinforces the need for broader research across regions, institutions, and employment categories.

2.8 Recent Evidence from Community Health Programmes

A 2026 study by Jaison, Ghose, and Kumar examined job satisfaction and job-related challenges among ASHA workers in a rural area of South 24 Parganas, West Bengal. It discussed workload and incentives among reported concerns. This evidence is relevant to the wider public health workforce but ASHA workers have a distinct programme role and employment structure and should not be treated as equivalent to professional social workers.

2.9 Critical Synthesis of the Literature

The literature suggests that job satisfaction is multidimensional; emotional demands distinguish social work from some other occupations; work–family relationships matter; institutional and regional context affects interpretation; and focused evidence on professional social workers employed directly in public healthcare remains needed. The available evidence does not justify a single national percentage or a uniform conclusion about satisfaction among all Indian public health social workers.

3. RESEARCH GAP

1. Sector-specific evidence: many studies include social workers from diverse organizations, making it difficult to isolate those working in public healthcare.
2. Differences between professional groups: findings about doctors, nurses, ASHA workers, or multipurpose health workers cannot be directly generalized to social workers.
3. Limited integration of organizational and emotional factors: job satisfaction, burnout, compassion fatigue, recognition, and organizational support are often studied separately.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

4. Employment arrangements: permanent, contractual, project-based, and externally managed positions may involve different security, benefits, and career pathways.
5. Regional and institutional diversity: infrastructure, staffing, management, and referral systems vary across states and institutions.
6. Social work-specific indicators: general workplace scales may miss autonomy, confidentiality, ethical practice, interdisciplinary participation, and advocacy.
7. Need for stronger empirical evidence: a focused, adequately sampled study could estimate satisfaction and identify associated factors.

4. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

4.1 General Objective

To critically examine factors influencing job satisfaction among social workers in the Indian public health sector and develop an empirical framework for assessing their professional experiences, workplace challenges, and organizational needs.

4.2 Specific Objectives

8. To examine the major dimensions of job satisfaction among social workers in public health institutions.
9. To analyse the possible influence of salary, employment security, workload, and working conditions.
10. To assess the conceptual relationship between professional recognition, supervisory support, organizational culture, and job satisfaction.
11. To examine work–life balance, psychological well-being, compassion satisfaction, and occupational stress.
12. To investigate how employment arrangements and institutional settings may contribute to differences in job satisfaction.
13. To identify organizational and professional challenges that may affect performance and retention.
14. To develop recommendations for improving job satisfaction and professional well-being.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

15. To propose an empirical methodology for measuring job satisfaction and examining associated factors.

5. RESEARCH QUESTIONS

16. What are the principal dimensions of job satisfaction among social workers employed in India's public health sector?
17. How may salary, employment security, and career advancement influence professional satisfaction?
18. What relationship exists between workload, working conditions, and job satisfaction?
19. How important are supervisory support, collegial relationships, and professional recognition?
20. How do work–life balance and psychological well-being relate to job satisfaction?
21. Do satisfaction levels differ by employment status, experience, location, or institutional type?
22. What organizational measures may improve social workers' satisfaction and professional effectiveness?

6. RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

The following hypotheses are proposed for testing in a future empirical investigation. They have not been statistically verified in this paper.

23. H1: Perceived adequacy of remuneration is positively associated with job satisfaction.
24. H2: Greater perceived employment security is positively associated with job satisfaction.
25. H3: Higher perceived workload is negatively associated with job satisfaction, particularly when resources are insufficient.
26. H4: Perceived supervisory support is positively associated with job satisfaction.
27. H5: Professional recognition and career development opportunities are positively associated with job satisfaction.
28. H6: Better work–life balance is positively associated with job satisfaction.
29. H7: Greater occupational stress and burnout are negatively associated with job satisfaction.
30. H8: Job satisfaction differs across employment categories and institutional settings after accounting for relevant characteristics.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

7. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

7.1 Research Design

This paper adopts a critical, literature-based research design. It examines theoretical perspectives and empirical studies concerning job satisfaction among Indian social workers and healthcare personnel. It synthesizes existing knowledge, identifies limitations, and proposes a framework for further investigation. It does not report an original field survey.

7.2 Nature of the Proposed Study

A future field investigation could use a descriptive and analytical cross-sectional design. The descriptive component would estimate the distribution of satisfaction levels, while the analytical component would examine associations between satisfaction and personal, professional, and organizational variables. A cross-sectional design can identify associations but cannot by itself establish causality.

7.3 Study Population

The target population would consist of professionally designated social workers employed in selected Indian public health institutions, such as government and district hospitals, medical colleges, community health centres and primary health centres where social work posts exist, public mental health institutions, government rehabilitation services, and eligible public health projects. Inclusion criteria should be finalized in advance; for example, employment in an eligible institution for at least six months. Professional social workers should be distinguished from other health personnel.

7.4 Geographical Coverage

A national study could include institutions from northern, southern, eastern, western, central, and northeastern India, with variation in rural and urban locations and institution types. If only one state or a few districts are studied, the title and conclusions should reflect that narrower scope.

7.5 Sampling Technique

A multistage stratified sampling strategy may be suitable for a multisite study. Regions or states would be selected first, then institutions, and finally eligible social workers. Probability sampling

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612
ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

should be used where reliable sampling frames exist. If non-probability sampling is necessary, the method and limitations should be clearly documented.

7.6 Proposed Sample Size

Sample size should be calculated after defining the primary outcome, precision, expected response rate, design effect, and target population. As an illustration, a simple random sample estimating a proportion with 95% confidence, a five-percentage-point margin of error, and an assumed proportion of 50% would require approximately 384 completed responses under standard large-population assumptions. This is only a planning illustration; the final sample may need to be larger to account for clustering, nonresponse, subgroup comparisons, or a limited population.

7.7 Sources of Data

Primary data in a future study could be collected through structured questionnaires, validated job satisfaction measures, questions on employment conditions, semi-structured interviews, and interviews with relevant administrators where approved. Secondary sources may include peer-reviewed articles, academic books, government health policy documents, WHO reports, and studies on workforce motivation, social work, burnout, and professional well-being.

7.8 Proposed Research Instrument

A structured questionnaire could include six sections:

- Section A — Demographic and professional information: age group, qualifications, experience, location, institution type, employment category, appointment type, and responsibilities.
- Section B — Remuneration and employment security: salary adequacy and timeliness, stability, benefits, contract clarity, and perceived fairness.
- Section C — Working conditions and workload: caseload, hours, infrastructure, privacy, administrative work, support staff, referral services, and resources.
- Section D — Organizational support and recognition: supervision, respect from colleagues, participation in decisions, autonomy, role clarity, training, and promotion.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612
ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

- Section E — Psychological well-being and work–life balance: occupational stress, emotional demands, work–family conflict, support access, balance, rest, and leave.
- Section F — Overall satisfaction: satisfaction with the role, career prospects, intention to remain, organizational commitment, and suggestions.

Validated instruments should be used where appropriate, and adaptations documented. Suitability for the target population should be assessed rather than assumed.

7.9 Measurement of Job Satisfaction

A five-point Likert-type scale may be used for relevant items: 1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neither agree nor disagree, 4 = agree, and 5 = strongly agree. Negative items should be reverse-coded where appropriate. Overall and subscale scores should follow the selected instrument's scoring rules. Low, moderate, and high categories should only be used where thresholds are defensible and justified in advance.

7.10 Validity and Reliability

The questionnaire should undergo expert review for content relevance and a pilot study to examine clarity, completion time, and practical suitability. Internal consistency may be assessed using Cronbach's alpha or another suitable statistic. Construct validity should be examined where sample size and design permit. Reliability coefficients must be calculated from actual data, not assumed.

7.11 Data Collection Procedure

After institutional permission and ethical approval, the researcher would contact selected institutions and invite eligible social workers. Participants should receive information about the purpose of the study, voluntary participation, confidentiality, and the right to withdraw. Questionnaires may be completed electronically or on paper. Interviews should use a prepared guide and avoid disrupting healthcare services.

7.12 Proposed Statistical Analysis

- Descriptive statistics: frequencies, percentages, means, standard deviations, medians, and interquartile ranges as appropriate.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612
ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

- Group comparisons: suitable tests across employment categories, institution types, geographical settings, and experience groups.
- Correlation analysis: Pearson or Spearman correlation depending on data characteristics.
- Regression analysis: an appropriate model to examine associations with workload, security, supervision, work–life balance, and other factors.
- Reliability analysis: suitable statistics for multi-item measures.
- Qualitative analysis: thematic analysis of interviews to identify recurring experiences and recommendations.

Methods should be selected after examining the data and checking assumptions. Effect sizes and confidence intervals should be reported where appropriate. No statistical result should be presented until analysis has actually been conducted.

7.13 Ethical Considerations

31. Obtain required ethical approval before primary data collection.
32. Secure informed consent and ensure voluntary participation.
33. Protect participant confidentiality and avoid unnecessary identifiers.
34. Avoid pressure from supervisors or administrators to participate.
35. Report findings honestly and transparently.
36. Do not fabricate data or selectively report results.
37. Store research records securely and disclose conflicts of interest.

Because employees may fear consequences for criticizing workplace conditions, confidentiality and protection against perceived retaliation are particularly important.

7.14 Limitations of the Proposed Methodology

A cross-sectional design measures satisfaction at one point in time and cannot establish long-term changes or causality. Self-reported responses may be affected by recall, social desirability, or workplace concerns. Institutional access and sampling-frame quality may affect representativeness. Differences in job titles and responsibilities may complicate comparisons, and a national study would require substantial resources.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

8. CRITICAL ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

8.1 Job Satisfaction as a Multidimensional Professional Experience

The literature indicates that job satisfaction cannot be reduced to a single factor. Remuneration matters because it supports financial security and signals how an institution values employees, but recognition, relationships, autonomy, and development also matter. Social workers may find meaning in helping patients and families, yet meaningful work does not remove the need for fair employment conditions. Professional commitment should not be treated as a substitute for organizational responsibility.

8.2 Remuneration and Employment Security

Remuneration affects financial stability, perceived fairness, and family responsibilities. Permanent, contractual, and project-based positions may differ in predictability, benefits, and career prospects, although these differences should be investigated rather than assumed. Employment insecurity may also affect workers' willingness to raise concerns. Fair systems should provide transparent recruitment, clear contracts, timely pay, and accessible grievance procedures.

8.3 Working Conditions and Institutional Resources

Appropriate workspaces, confidential counselling facilities, staffing, referral networks, and access to information help social workers perform effectively. Confidential assessment requires privacy, while discharge planning and referral coordination depend on communication between departments and community services. When institutional constraints prevent professional practice, dissatisfaction may arise even among highly motivated employees. Structural barriers require organizational remedies.

8.4 Workload and Role Ambiguity

Social workers may manage assessment, counselling, family liaison, documentation, referral coordination, and reporting. Inadequate staffing can make these duties difficult to complete within normal hours. Unclear job descriptions may create duplication, conflict, and uncertainty.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612
ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

Institutions should define roles, set realistic caseload expectations, review workloads, and reduce unnecessary documentation.

8.5 Professional Recognition and Interdisciplinary Relationships

Social workers often collaborate with doctors, nurses, psychologists, administrators, patients, and community organizations. Recognition includes being consulted on psychosocial care, having assessments respected, and contributing to institutional decisions. If social work is treated only as administrative support, its professional contribution may be restricted. Multidisciplinary collaboration should clarify and respect each profession's expertise.

8.6 Supervision and Organizational Leadership

Supportive supervision can clarify expectations, enable discussion of difficult cases, identify training needs, and help resolve workplace problems. Inconsistent communication or unclear evaluation criteria may increase frustration. Social work supervision should include administrative guidance, professional development, ethical reflection, and support for emotionally demanding cases. Confidential channels for raising concerns should be available.

8.7 Career Advancement and Continuing Professional Development

Social workers may seek specialization in mental health, medical social work, rehabilitation, palliative care, disability services, community health, or programme management. Limited training and unclear promotion criteria can restrict professional growth. Institutions should communicate advancement criteria, encourage continuing education, and recognize relevant qualifications and experience.

8.8 Psychological Well-Being and Emotional Labour

Social workers may encounter bereavement, family conflict, poverty, violence, disability, and serious illness. Compassion fatigue and burnout research suggests that helping professionals may experience occupational stress even when they are resilient. Employee well-being should be an organizational responsibility. Supervision, manageable caseloads, peer consultation, confidential support, and reasonable leave may help, but they should not replace action on excessive workload or insecure employment.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

8.9 Work–Life Balance and Family Support

Work–life balance concerns the relationship between professional responsibilities and personal life. Research by Kalliath and colleagues (2019) supports the relevance of work–family enrichment, job well-being, and family support among Indian social workers. Working hours, commuting, emergency duties, caseloads, and emotional demands may affect balance. Predictable schedules, adequate rest, fair leave policies, and reasonable after-hours expectations can help where service requirements permit.

8.10 Regional and Institutional Inequalities

A social worker in a well-resourced urban teaching hospital may experience different conditions from one serving a rural institution with limited staffing or referral facilities. Funding, management practices, geography, and appointment arrangements may influence satisfaction. National averages can conceal inequalities, so studies should report results by relevant categories when sample sizes permit. Regional differences should be interpreted using evidence rather than unsupported assumptions.

8.11 Job Satisfaction and Quality of Healthcare

Satisfaction may be associated with professional engagement, service coordination, and retention, but the relationship with healthcare quality is not necessarily direct. Outcomes are also influenced by staffing, infrastructure, management, referral systems, and patient circumstances. Improving satisfaction alone cannot guarantee better outcomes; studies should examine satisfaction alongside retention intentions, professional functioning, and perceived service quality.

8.12 Need for a Social Work-Specific Assessment Framework

A context-sensitive framework should include remuneration and security; working conditions and workload; autonomy and role clarity; supervision; recognition and collaboration; career development; work–life balance; psychological well-being; ethical practice and confidentiality; and overall satisfaction and intention to remain. The framework should be tested for reliability and validity and should allow employees to explain experiences that standardized scales may overlook.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

9. MAJOR THEMES EMERGING FROM THE LITERATURE

The following are synthesized themes from existing literature, not findings from original data collected by the author.

38. Satisfaction has multiple dimensions, including work content, remuneration, conditions, supervision, relationships, and advancement.
39. Professional meaning does not eliminate workplace challenges; rewarding work can coexist with stress or organizational dissatisfaction.
40. Work–family relationships matter; research involving Indian social workers identifies work–family enrichment, job well-being, and family support as relevant.
41. Working conditions deserve specific attention, although findings vary across professional groups and settings.
42. Professional recognition and development are important areas for investigation, including training, supportive supervision, and career advancement.
43. Psychological well-being should be included because social work may involve sustained exposure to distress.
44. National generalization requires representative data; small regional samples or studies of different professional groups cannot establish a national satisfaction level.

10. RECOMMENDATIONS

10.1 Establish Transparent Employment Policies

Public health institutions should develop clear policies for recruitment, appointment conditions, remuneration, performance evaluation, and grievance redressal. Contractual employees should receive written information about employment conditions. Where feasible, institutions should reduce avoidable employment uncertainty.

10.2 Improve Working Conditions

Institutions should provide suitable workspaces, confidential counselling facilities, professional resources, and effective referral arrangements. Periodic assessments should identify infrastructure gaps and prioritize improvements according to service needs.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612
ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

10.3 Introduce Workload Assessment Mechanisms

Caseloads and administrative duties should be reviewed regularly. Staffing should reflect the complexity of cases, not only the number of patients or files. Institutions should consider staffing adjustments, better referral procedures, and reduction of unnecessary documentation when workloads are excessive.

10.4 Strengthen Professional Supervision

Regular supervision should provide case discussion, ethical reflection, professional learning, and opportunities to raise workplace concerns. Supervisors should receive training in communication, staff development, and supportive management.

10.5 Recognize Social Work Contributions

Institutions should acknowledge contributions to psychosocial care, rehabilitation, patient support, community engagement, and continuity of care. Social workers should be included in relevant multidisciplinary meetings, with transparent recognition criteria.

10.6 Improve Career Development Opportunities

Public health organizations should encourage continuing education, specialized training, and professional development. Promotion criteria should be clear. Where formal promotion structures are limited, specialized responsibilities, training roles, research participation, and leadership opportunities may support growth.

10.7 Promote Psychological Well-Being

Employers should consider peer support, confidential counselling, reflective supervision, reasonable leave, and training for emotionally demanding work. These should complement rather than replace improvements in staffing, workload, and employment conditions.

10.8 Support Work–Life Balance

Institutions should review scheduling practices and consider flexible arrangements where feasible. Predictable hours, adequate rest, fair leave, and reasonable after-hours expectations may support well-being.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

10.9 Develop a Job Satisfaction Monitoring System

Institutions should consider periodic confidential surveys covering overall satisfaction, remuneration, security, workload, infrastructure, supervision, recognition, training, career development, psychological well-being, and intention to remain. Results should guide improvements rather than penalize employees for expressing dissatisfaction.

10.10 Encourage Research Collaboration

Universities, social work departments, public health institutions, and government agencies should collaborate on rigorous studies. Research should examine satisfaction, retention, interdisciplinary collaboration, and psychosocial service quality.

11. PROPOSED CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The proposed framework treats job satisfaction as the principal outcome variable and identifies several categories of possible explanatory factors.

Independent Variables

- Remuneration and employment security.
- Working conditions and workload.
- Supervisory support.
- Professional recognition.
- Career advancement opportunities.
- Organizational culture.
- Work–life balance.
- Access to training and professional resources.

Potential Mediating or Related Variables

- Perceived organizational support.
- Job well-being.
- Occupational stress.
- Professional commitment.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612
ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

- Compassion satisfaction.
- Burnout.

The role of these variables as mediators should be established through theoretical reasoning and empirical analysis rather than assumed.

Potential Moderating Variables

- Employment category.
- Years of professional experience.
- Institutional type.
- Geographical location.
- Rural or urban posting.
- Family support.

Dependent Variable

Overall job satisfaction among social workers employed in the Indian public health sector.

Expected Relationships

The framework proposes that better working conditions, stronger supervisory support, professional recognition, adequate remuneration, and greater employment security may be positively associated with job satisfaction. Higher workload and occupational stress may be negatively associated, particularly where resources are inadequate. Work–life balance and professional development may also contribute. These propositions require empirical testing.

12. FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

Future research should examine social workers' experiences specifically within healthcare institutions. Longitudinal studies could assess whether satisfaction changes after improvements in staffing, supervision, employment security, or professional development. Comparative studies could examine permanent and contractual employees or rural and urban institutions. Qualitative research could explore recognition, autonomy, ethical challenges, and emotional demands. Mixed-methods studies could combine validated measures with interviews. Researchers could

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01





also examine relationships between satisfaction, intention to leave, professional commitment, psychosocial care, and continuity of services. A measurement instrument tailored to Indian public health social work may capture autonomy, confidentiality, interdisciplinary participation, and patient advocacy more effectively than general scales.

13. CONCLUSION

Job satisfaction among social workers is an important but insufficiently examined dimension of public health workforce development in India. Social workers contribute to psychosocial care, rehabilitation, counselling, patient advocacy, community engagement, and coordination between healthcare institutions and social support systems. Their professional effectiveness depends not only on individual commitment but also on their working conditions.

The literature reviewed suggests that job satisfaction is multidimensional and shaped by remuneration, employment security, working conditions, workload, supervisory support, professional recognition, career development, work–life balance, and psychological well-being. Research involving Indian social workers demonstrates the relevance of work–family enrichment, job well-being, and family support. Other studies of healthcare personnel identify working conditions, employment benefits, professional relationships, and supervisory recognition as important areas. Research on compassion fatigue and burnout further emphasizes the emotional demands of social work.

The evidence must be interpreted carefully. Studies involving doctors, nurses, ASHA workers, and other healthcare personnel are not direct measures of satisfaction among professional social workers. Findings from selected states or small samples cannot establish a uniform national pattern. The central argument is that improving satisfaction requires fair employment conditions, supportive leadership, manageable workloads, professional recognition, career development, and attention to employee well-being.

A focused empirical investigation should use a clearly defined population, appropriate sampling, validated instruments, ethical safeguards, and transparent statistical analysis. Until such data are collected and analysed, the precise level of satisfaction among this professional group remains an

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025



This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

empirical question rather than an established national fact. Social workers should be recognized as important contributors to comprehensive and equitable healthcare, and improving their professional satisfaction merits systematic investigation and sustained institutional commitment.

14. REFERENCES

1- Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., & Snyderman, B. B. (1959). The motivation to work (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

2- Jaison, M. K., Ghose, S., & Kumar, S. (2026). Job satisfaction and job related challenges among ASHA workers in a rural area of South 24 Parganas district of West Bengal. Discover Public Health, 23, Article 1122. Verify bibliographic details against the original publication before submission.

3- Kalliath, P., Kalliath, T., Chan, X. W., & Chan, C. (2019). Linking work–family enrichment to job satisfaction through job well-being and family support: A moderated mediation analysis of social workers across India. The British Journal of Social Work, 49(1), 234–255. https://doi.org/10.1093/bjsw/bcy022

4- Lakra, G. J., Kadam, S., Hussain, M. A., Pati, S., Sharma, K., & Zodpey, S. (2012). Motivation and job satisfaction among multipurpose health workers in hilly and non-hilly areas of Jashpur District, Chhattisgarh: An exploratory study. The Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health, 43(5), 1262–1272. Verify author and title details against the original publication.

5- Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. Psychological Review, 50(4), 370–396. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0054346

6- Paul, F. A., Das, M., Gogoi, R., Baviskar, P. A., & Gaga, J. K. (2022). Job satisfaction and mental health status of social workers in Kashmir: A cross-sectional study. International Journal of Indian Psychology, 10(2), 220–229. https://doi.org/10.25215/1002.022. Verify bibliographic details against the original publication.

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Journal of Advance Research in Science and Social Science (JARSSC)
Publisher: Indian Mental Health & Research Centre
DOI: 10.46523/jarssc.08.01.13
Multidisciplinary, Open Access
Impact Factor: 3.612

ISSN: 2582-2004
Volume 08, Issue 01

7- Singh, T., Kaur, M., Verma, M., & Kumar, R. (2019). Job satisfaction among health care providers: A cross-sectional study in public health facilities of Punjab, India. Journal of Family Medicine and Primary Care, 8(10), 3268–3275. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_600_19

8- Stanley, S., & Sebastine, A. J. (2024). Predictors of compassion fatigue and compassion satisfaction in social workers (A quantitative study from India). Human Service Organizations: Management, Leadership & Governance, 48(5), 540–554. https://doi.org/10.1080/23303131.2024.2319210

9- World Health Organization. (2021). Health workforce in India: Why, where and how to invest? WHO Regional Office for South-East Asia. Verify publication details against the WHO catalogue.

10- World Health Organization. (n.d.). Health workforce: India. World Health Organization, Country Office for India. Consult the official WHO India website for the current page and citation details.

11- World Health Organization. (2010). Job satisfaction and motivation of health workers in public and private sectors: Cross-sectional analysis from two Indian states. Human Resources for Health, 8, Article 27. https://doi.org/10.1186/1478-4491-8-27

Received: 17.01.2025 **Accepted:** 21.02.2025 **Published**: 21.02.2025

This work is licensed and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any Medium, provided the original work is properly cited.